UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,493	03/31/2004	John S. Keys	110349-135625	6457
	7590 04/08/200 ILLIAMSON & WYA	EXAMINER		
	NTER, SUITE 1900	HO, ANDY		
PORTLAND, C	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,493	KEYS, JOHN S.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDY HO	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed of 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice in the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the communication(s) filed of the communicat	This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal ma		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) 16-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,9-15 and 24 is/are rejected for the company of the company	withdrawn from consideration.  d. to. n and/or election requirement.  xaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2004</u> i  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docentified copies of the priority docentified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority docentified copies of the certified copies of	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2194

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the application filed 3/31/2004.

2. Claims 1-29 have been examined and are pending in the application.

# Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Art Unit: 2194

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,213,096.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

#### Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

Art Unit: 2194

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

(1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;

(2) if an article, its method of making;

(3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no summary of the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2194

6. Claims 1-3, 9-15 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zarns U.S Patent No. 6,904,489.

As to clam 1, Zarns teaches a system comprising:

a computer including:

a processor (processor element, 53-54 column 4), and

a memory coupled to said processor (a memory, line 54 column 4), having:

a first USB stack (USB stack, lines 35-50 column 5); and

a first remote host controller function implementing a first virtual USB port (lines 10-23 column 8); and

an abstraction of a remote host controller and a host controller, coupled with said computer, operating in combination with said abstraction of a remote host controller as a USB host controller of said computer (lines 20-34 column 7).

**As to clam 2**, Zarns further teaches said host controller is remotely disposed from said computer (lines 20-34 column 7).

**As to clam 3**, Zarns further teaches said host controller is a component of said computer (lines 20-34 column 7).

**As to clam 9**, Zarns further teaches the first remote host controller function is equipped to provide function specific processing for a USB buffer I/O request of a function of said host controller (lines 49-61 column 7).

Art Unit: 2194

As to clam 10, Zarns further teaches said abstraction of a remote host controller comprises a remote host controller driver equipped to provide function independent processing to format a USB buffer I/O request for transmission to said host controller (lines 24-43 column 6).

As to clam 11, Zarns further teaches said abstraction of a remote host controller comprises a media transport equipped to transmit a USB buffer I/O request, formatted for a media type, over a media of the media type, to said host controller (lines 24-43 column 6).

As to clam 12, Zarns further teaches said host controller comprises a media transport of like type, a remote host function driver coupled to the media transport of the host controller and a USB host controller (lines 24-43 column 6).

As to clam 13, Zarns further teaches said media transport includes a communications link selected from the group consisting of: local area networks, wide area networks, personal area networks, telephone networks, wireless links, USB, IEEE 1394 and powerlines (lines 24-43 column 6).

**As to clam 14**, Zarns further teaches a remote device coupled to said host controller (lines 16-27 column 5).

**As to clam 15**, Zarns further teaches said remote device is a selected one of a digital camera, a printer, a digital music player/recorder, a keyboard and a cursor control device (lines 16-27 column 5).

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 24, it is an apparatus claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 16-23 allowed.
- 8. Claims 4-8 and 25-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571) 272-3756.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2194

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

/Andy Ho/

Examiner, Art Unit 2194